



## Integrating Sketchup-Based 3d Modeling Into Graphic Design and Architectural Education: Enhancing Visual Thinking and Creative Skills

Muldiyana

Universitas Muhammadiyah Bone

Received: 5 December 2025  
Revised: 10 December 2025  
Accepted: 23 December 2025

### Abstract

This study examines the pedagogical function of SketchUp-based 3D modeling in enhancing visual communication, creativity, and spatial literacy among students in graphic design and architectural visualization programs. In the evolving domain of digital design education, SketchUp functions as an accessible and intuitive platform that merges technical modeling with conceptual ideation, enabling learners to convert imagination into visual representation. This study utilized a qualitative-descriptive methodology, collecting data from classroom observations, student comments, and project assessments in design and architecture classes to evaluate SketchUp's influence on learning engagement and skill enhancement. The results show that SketchUp fosters interactive learning settings that enhance active experimentation, exploration, and spatial reasoning. In contrast to traditional two-dimensional drawing methods, SketchUp offers instant visual feedback, thereby improving students' understanding of design and their problem-solving skills. Participants exhibited heightened enthusiasm, assurance, and digital competence, illustrating SketchUp's capacity to foster collaborative, project-oriented learning in alignment with constructivist educational ideas. The pedagogical incorporation of SketchUp fosters a synergy between creative ideation and technical proficiency, so enhancing the educational experience and encouraging students to critically interact with design concepts. Identified challenges encompass insufficient technical skill among novices and the necessity for curricular integration frameworks to enhance digital modeling instruction. Overall, this research concludes that SketchUp-based 3D modeling strengthens both cognitive and practical aspects of design education, preparing students to become adaptive and digitally literate professionals ready for the demands of creative industries.

**Keywords:** SketchUp, 3D modeling, design education, architectural visualization, digital pedagogy

(\*) Corresponding Author: [muldiyana@unimbone.ac.id](mailto:muldiyana@unimbone.ac.id), Orcid ID: 0009-0002-9936-3241

**How to Cite:** Muldiyana, M. (2026). Integrating Sketchup-Based 3d Modeling Into Graphic Design and Architectural Education: Enhancing Visual Thinking and Creative Skills. *International Journal of Education, Information Technology, and Others*, 9(1), 1-15. Retrieved from <https://jurnal.peneliti.net/index.php/IJEIT/article/view/13611>

### INTRODUCTION

Over the past twenty years, the domains of design and architecture have experienced significant transformation propelled by digital innovation. The growing use of computer-based tools, especially 3D modeling software, has transformed students' ability to create, visualize, and articulate innovative ideas. In modern design education, digital literacy and spatial visualization have transitioned from supplementary technical abilities to essential competences required for professional preparedness. As design challenges become increasingly intricate and interdisciplinary, proficiency in digital technology is essential for converting



abstract concepts into visual and structural representations that can be evaluated, verified, and disseminated. Thus, incorporating digital modeling into educational systems has become an essential pedagogical focus for institutions aiming to equip future designers and architects for a changing professional environment. ([El-Khouly & Abdelhalim, 2024](#); [Hu, 2019](#); [Huang et al., 2025](#); [Lee et al., 2021](#); [Milošević et al., 2023](#))

Among numerous modeling tools available today, SketchUp stands out as one of the most accessible and cognitively intuitive platforms for 3D modeling. Unlike highly specialized software often used in professional settings, SketchUp's simplicity and user-centered design make it especially suitable for educational environments. It allows students to engage directly with spatial forms and design logic without being constrained by steep technical learning curves. Its capacity to combine precision, flexibility, and real-time visualization positions SketchUp as a bridge between technical drafting and creative exploration. Additionally, its connection with other digital tools and collections of ready-made parts makes it easier for students to try new ideas, work together, and be more efficient. These qualities make SketchUp an important pedagogical tool for early exposure to digital spatial thinking while supporting the transition from conceptual idea generation to three-dimensional representation. ([Al-Rqaibat et al., 2025](#); [Bhaduri et al., 2021](#); [Hock et al., 2021](#); [Šafhalter et al., 2020](#); [Zhu et al., 2024](#))

The educational framework in design and architecture has gradually shifted from traditional studio-based instruction to technology-enhanced learning environments. Studio pedagogy, while fundamentally rooted in experiential discovery, critique, and reflection, often remains limited to conventional analog means of representation, such as sketching, physical modeling, and two-dimensional drafting. Although these tactics enhance creative intuition and design sensitivity, they may limit opportunities for rapid iteration and digital visualization. Technological integration, exemplified by technologies like SketchUp, introduces innovative capabilities that revolutionize teaching methods and learning experiences. Digital learning environments provide iterative experimentation, collaborative design, and prompt feedback. Design education evolves from a primarily individual, fixed procedure to an interactive, dynamic experience that fosters increased engagement and cognitive growth. Digitally mediated pedagogy reflects cultural shifts towards hybrid learning methods, where physical and digital tools coexist to enhance creativity, visualization, and communication. ([Alam et al., 2025](#); [Garcia et al., 2023](#); [Huang et al., 2024](#); [Kumbo et al., 2023](#); [Li et al., 2024](#))

Even Nevertheless, there is still a dearth of scholarly study on the pedagogical effects of 3D modeling tools, despite their increasing use in curricula. The deeper cognitive and creative results of digital modeling are often overlooked in favor of technical skills or software competency. Regarding how particular digital tools, such as SketchUp, affect students' visual literacy, spatial reasoning, and design communication in formal education environments, there is a glaring study void. Understanding digital tools' pedagogical worth is crucial for creating evidence-based frameworks that direct how these tools are incorporated into curriculum design, as they continue to influence professional and educational practices. This understanding extends beyond technological novelty. In order to better understand how SketchUp affects fundamental learning goals like creativity, spatial awareness,

and problem-solving, an empirical study of its educational value is necessary. ([Al-Rqaibat et al., 2025](#); [Honzíková et al., 2024](#); [Huang et al., 2025](#); [Li et al., 2025](#); [Porat & Ceobanu, 2024](#))

Furthermore, assessing SketchUp's instructional role addresses a broader challenge in design education: integrating digital technologies with constructivist pedagogical approaches. Modern learning theories highlight student-centered approaches, wherein knowledge is generated via active engagement, discovery, and reflection. SketchUp's interactive features enable learners to investigate and manipulate concepts in real time, transforming abstract ideas into tangible digital artifacts. Its feature fosters iterative thinking—students may continuously refine their models, evaluate design alternatives, and receive immediate visual feedback. This process embodies the iterative nature of design thinking, highlighting exploration, refinement, and discourse. Consequently, SketchUp possesses the ability to augment immersive and autonomous learning strategies vital for effective design education. ([Abdullah et al., 2024](#); [Fleischmann, 2024](#); [Huang et al., 2025](#); [Sim & Jung, 2025](#))

An further crucial factor in the increasing application of SketchUp in education is its ability to facilitate interdisciplinary cooperation. Students of design and architecture are progressively collaborating across disciplines, incorporating engineering, sustainability, urban planning, and media design into their work. SketchUp's interoperability with many digital ecosystems, including BIM (Building Information Modeling), rendering engines, and virtual reality settings, fosters interdisciplinary communication. In classrooms, it serves as both a design tool and a communication medium via which students express and negotiate design intentions. The capacity to utilize SketchUp for collaborative concept development enriches the social aspect of learning, acknowledged as a catalyst for innovation and collective creativity in design education. ([Al-Rqaibat et al., 2025](#); [Koszewski & Przybyłowicz, 2023](#); [Süner-Pla-Cerdà et al., 2024](#); [Wu & LIU, 2024](#))

However, the incorporation of SketchUp and related tools into instruction is fraught with a number of institutional and pedagogical difficulties. Teachers need to strike a balance between fostering conceptual depth and teaching technological skills. Students may initially encounter cognitive overload while utilizing digital modeling tools for intricate creative assignments. The potential of SketchUp-based learning may also be limited by disparities in instructional design, limited access to hardware or software licenses, and variability in prior digital expertise. Consequently, successful implementation necessitates meticulous curricular alignment, deliberate structuring of learning activities, and continuous assessment of technology's impact on creative processes and educational results. ([Al-Rqaibat et al., 2025](#); [Jiang et al., 2024](#); [Li et al., 2025](#); [Sürmelioglu & Erdem, 2025](#))

This is why the current study is to investigate and assess how SketchUp-based 3D modeling improves students' design communication, creativity, and visual-spatial comprehension in design and architecture education. This research aims to determine the strengths and limits of SketchUp as an educational tool by analyzing learner experiences and teaching techniques. The study offers empirical evidence to address the research gap and insights into the pedagogical strategies required for the effective integration of technology into design curriculum. Ultimately, it aims to elucidate how digital tools like as SketchUp can act as catalysts for cognitive

advancement, collaboration, and innovation—fundamental principles in the education of future designers and architects. ([Al-Rqaibat et al., 2025](#); [Çoruh, 2025](#); [Süner-Pla-Cerdà et al., 2024](#); [Sürmelioglu & Erdem, 2025](#))

In conclusion, this investigation places SketchUp in the larger context of digital pedagogy and design education reform. It acknowledges the growing imperative of integrating creativity with technological proficiency and suggests that SketchUp provides a significant platform for fostering that amalgamation. The project will provide a detailed understanding of how digital modeling influences learning, creativity, and visualization, therefore informing both theory and practice in modern design education. This action contributes to the ongoing discourse over the future of design education in a time where technology is not only a tool but an integral element of creative exploration and professional development. ([Bosch et al., 2024](#); [Çoruh, 2025](#); [Huang et al., 2025](#); [Jain et al., 2024](#))

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

Studies on 3D modeling in education have shown its increasing significance in fostering design cognition, spatial reasoning, and visual literacy in fields including architecture, engineering, and graphic design. Research indicates that dynamic 3D models, encompassing digital and 3D-printed representations, can improve comprehension of intricate structures by externalizing mental imagery and facilitating cognitive integration. Three-dimensional media diminish the cognitive load linked to abstract reasoning by enabling learners to manipulate shapes, observe objects from various angles, and associate conceptual understanding with concrete representations. In architectural and spatial design education, computational modeling and 3D technology enhance spatial cognition and assist students in connecting geometric concepts to practical design challenges. These findings establish 3D modeling as a fundamental cognitive instrument that influences learners' perception, processing, and communication of design concepts. ([Herrera et al., 2024](#); [Huang et al., 2025](#); [Monroy-Peláez et al., 2025](#); [Rave et al., 2025](#))

In design cognition research, 3D modeling is often regarded as a medium that facilitates externalized thinking and iterative problem-solving. Students participate in iterative processes of creating, evaluating, and refining design options while obtaining ongoing visual input from their models. This method corresponds with the concept of "thinking through making," wherein cognitive engagement is shared between the designer and the representational instruments. Data from spatial design and engineering courses reveals that students engaged in systematic 3D modeling activities have enhanced spatial visualization abilities, including mental rotation and perspective-taking, essential for architectural and environmental design endeavors. Moreover, the utilization of 3D representations in educational settings has been associated with enhanced classroom interaction and conceptual integration, as students more readily correlate diverse sources and types of knowledge when they may physically or digitally manipulate models. ([Abdo et al., 2024](#); [Aslan et al., 2024](#); [Lee et al., 2025](#); [Monroy-Peláez et al., 2025](#); [Tejera et al., 2025](#))

In the context of 3D modeling research, SketchUp has become a prevalent platform in education owing to its accessibility, user-friendly interface, and comparatively low technical barrier relative to more sophisticated professional software. SketchUp is often endorsed by its developers and educational affiliates as

a tool specifically designed for higher education, with licensing options and training materials targeted at design, architecture, and construction disciplines. Training materials and institutional workshops focus on the advancement of skills, ranging from fundamental navigation and modeling of simple volumes to interior layouts, technical documentation, and integration with comprehensive digital processes, including rendering and basic BIM methodologies. This scaffolding enables students to go incrementally from basic geometric constructions to more sophisticated spatial compositions, emphasizing design logic over program complexity. Consequently, SketchUp is progressively utilized for conceptual visualization, preliminary massing analyses, and the articulation of design intent in both academic studios and professional courses. ([Al-Rqaibat et al., 2025](#); [Edwards et al., 2024](#); [El-Khouly & Abdelhalim, 2024](#); [Kong & Jeong, 2025](#); [Li et al., 2025](#))

SketchUp serves as an educational instrument for conceptual visualization, especially prominent in schematic and preliminary design stages, where swift exploration and clarity of form take precedence over intricate technical specifics. Tutorials and case studies demonstrate that students can rapidly create massing models, evaluate different configurations, and develop visual narratives of project development using scenes and basic rendering techniques. The capacity to interact with models, create sections, and alter viewpoints stimulates students to contemplate volumetric relationships and spatial sequences, so enhancing their comprehension of interior-exterior linkages and human-scale experiences. Moreover, SketchUp's comprehensive online component libraries and connection with collaborative platforms allow learners to concentrate on advanced design decisions by utilizing existing features, thereby liberating cognitive resources for creativity and spatial thinking. These attributes render SketchUp especially appropriate for educational environments constrained by time and resources, while upholding the significance of conceptual clarity and language accuracy. ([Al-Rqaibat et al., 2025](#); [Anifowose et al., 2022](#); [Honzíková et al., 2024](#); [Li et al., 2025](#); [Rave et al., 2025](#))

The theoretical foundations of 3D modeling and the application of SketchUp in education can be articulated through constructivism, experiential learning, and digital design pedagogy. Constructivist approaches assert that learners actively build knowledge through engagement with tools, peers, and educational tasks. Digital media, encompassing dynamic 3D worlds, facilitate knowledge production by enabling students to change representations, test hypotheses, and promptly observe the outcomes of their design choices. Experiential learning theories emphasize the significance of tangible experiences, introspective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation as a cyclical process that fosters greater understanding. 3D modeling activities correspond closely with this cycle: students construct models (concrete experience), visually assess them (reflection), modify design concepts (conceptualization), and refine the model (experimentation). In digital design education, these frameworks manifest in studio and classroom practices that incorporate digital tools not as separate technical courses, but as fundamental contexts where design thinking, collaboration, and communication occur. ([Al-Rqaibat et al., 2025](#); [Li et al., 2025](#); [Süner-Pla-Cerdà et al., 2024](#); [Tan et al., 2024](#))

Empirical research on technology-enhanced constructivist learning indicates that digital resources, when integrated with suitable instructional methodologies, can markedly improve engagement, critical thinking, and creative expression. Studies on blended and online learning environments indicate that the incorporation of interactive digital resources into constructivist courses produces superior learning outcomes compared to traditional techniques, especially regarding conceptual comprehension and learner independence. Studies focused on experiential learning indicate that immersive and hands-on digital activities, such as 3D simulations and modeling exercises, enhance higher-order thinking and facilitate the transfer of knowledge to practical applications. Theoretical and empirical findings establish a basis for establishing SketchUp-based 3D modeling as a technology and pedagogical intervention aimed at facilitating active, learner-centered design education. ([Abdullah et al., 2022](#); [Cortes et al., 2022](#); [Huang et al., 2025](#); [Rave et al., 2025](#))

The case for emphasizing SketchUp in design and architecture education is further supported by prior research on the effects of digital modeling on student motivation and comprehension. Numerous studies have indicated that students participating in 3D-based activities—such as virtual environments, 3D printing, or modeling software—exhibit increased enjoyment, curiosity, and readiness to tackle challenging material. Interactive 3D projects convert classrooms into more engaging and collaborative environments, enabling learners to discuss design options, exchange models, and offer peer evaluations. Increased intrinsic motivation and sustained attention have been associated with the social component of 3D learning environments, as students view their work as both publicly visible and personally important. Research on digital abilities indicates a favorable correlation between students' technology proficiency and their overall academic motivation, suggesting that confidence in utilizing digital tools can enhance broader engagement in learning activities. ([Márquez et al., 2025](#); [Michikyan et al., 2025](#); [Yaseen et al., 2025](#); [Zakir et al., 2025](#))

Research demonstrates that 3D modeling and associated technologies enhance comprehension by rendering abstract or complex processes more tangible and manipulable. In science and spatial design education, three-dimensional models elucidate complex concepts, enhance retention, and facilitate connections between theoretical content and practical applications. Spatial visualization interventions employing 3D modeling and printing have yielded quantifiable improvements in students' capacity to perceive and create spatial representations, competencies that are directly pertinent to architectural and design problem-solving. Furthermore, data from immersive and three-dimensional learning settings indicates that digital media can enhance emotional involvement, hence improving learning outcomes and increasing pleasure with the educational experience. These studies collectively indicate that digital modeling tools, such as SketchUp, may impact both the affective and cognitive aspects of learning. ([Ghanbaripour et al., 2024](#); [Huang et al., 2025](#); [McChesney et al., 2025](#); [Zhuofan & Hidayat, 2025](#)).

Despite these developments, there are currently very few in-depth studies of SketchUp as a teaching tool in architecture and design programs in higher education in the literature. The majority of the information on SketchUp in education that is currently available is not based on formal empirical investigations, but rather on

practitioner-oriented papers, institutional case descriptions, or training materials. Although these sources emphasize the usefulness and widespread use of the program, they offer little information about how SketchUp directly influences creativity, visual-spatial comprehension, and design communication results. Current research on 3D modeling frequently combines many tools and contexts, complicating the identification of the specific affordances and limitations inherent to SketchUp. Thus, there is a necessity for focused research that analyzes SketchUp-based learning experiences in connection with established theoretical frameworks and quantifiable educational effects. ([Hajirasouli & Banihashemi, 2022](#); [Huang et al., 2025](#); [Koumpouros, 2024](#); [Xu & Huang, 2024](#))

This study situates SketchUp within the existing literature, considering it not merely as a technical platform but also as a mediating artifact in design cognition and education. This study aims to elucidate how activities utilizing SketchUp enhance students' creativity, visual-spatial abilities, and communication skills in graphic design and architectural visualization courses, based on research linking 3D modeling with spatial reasoning, motivation, and conceptual comprehension. By utilizing constructivist and experiential learning theories, it seeks to demonstrate how the software may be incorporated into curriculum to facilitate active, reflective, and collaborative learning, rather than simply providing software training. Bridging this gap would enhance digital design pedagogy and offer evidence-based recommendations for educators and organizations aiming to utilize SketchUp in their initiatives to modernize design and architecture education in a digitally intense world. ([Acican & Luyten, 2025](#); [Al-Rqibat et al., 2025](#); [Huang et al., 2025](#); [Mance, 2024](#))

## **METHOD**

The research used a qualitative-descriptive methodology incorporating embedded case studies from design and architecture courses in higher education. This methodology is suitable as the research seeks to comprehend the integration of SketchUp-based 3D modeling inside genuine teaching and learning environments and its impact on students' creativity, visualization, and communication, rather than to evaluate a tightly specified experimental intervention. Within this methodological framework, each class utilizing SketchUp systematically constitutes a bounded case, facilitating an in-depth investigation of educational methods, student processes, and project outcomes within their natural setting.

The case studies are located within undergraduate graphic design and architecture programs where SketchUp has been integrated into studio-based or digital modeling courses as an essential element of the curriculum. Classes often consist of 20 to 35 students and are conducted by educators with proven expertise in teaching SketchUp. Cases are intentionally chosen based on three criteria: consistent use of SketchUp throughout the course; incorporation of 3D modeling tasks in graded projects; and the inclusion of chances for critique, feedback, and reflection in the course design. All enrolled students are invited to participate, and informed consent is acquired in accordance with established academic ethical protocols. All research records have had identifying information removed or pseudonymized.

Data gathering is based on three principal sources: classroom observations, student work, and interviews or written reflections. Non-participant observations occur during critical phases of the course, including the initiation of SketchUp tasks, interim critiques, and final presentations. Observation procedures concentrate on student interactions with SketchUp, their experimentation with form and space, and their utilization of 3D models to articulate concepts to peers and teachers. Focus is placed on educational tactics, encompassing demonstrations, formative feedback, and the amalgamation of SketchUp with traditional instruments like hand sketching.

Student work functions as primary artifacts for assessing learning outcomes. The gathered materials consist of SketchUp model files, exported photos and renders, together with any supplementary paperwork, such design statements or layout sheets. When accessible, preliminary hand sketches or diagrams are analyzed in conjunction with 3D outputs to delineate the progression from conceptual notions to digital spatial representations. These artifacts enable the researcher to evaluate the complexity, coherence, and communicative clarity of student efforts. Interviews and written reflections offer insight into participants' viewpoints of their learning experiences. Semi-structured interviews with pupils investigate felt alterations in creativity, spatial comprehension, and confidence in utilizing digital tools, alongside perceived benefits and drawbacks of SketchUp in comparison to conventional approaches. Educators are questioned regarding their teaching objectives, curriculum design, evaluation methods, and perceptions of student progress. In courses with constrained interview time, concise reflection prompts and succinct questionnaires are employed to gather self-reported data on learning and motivation.

Three evaluative criteria inform the analysis: creativity, project results, and self-reported enhancement of learning. Creativity is assessed through the diversity of ideas, uniqueness in spatial arrangement, and iterative exploration reflected in subsequent model versions. Project outputs are assessed based on spatial organization, functional resolution, and the efficacy of visual communication, such as the utilization of views, sections, and compositions to express design intent. Self-reported learning enhancement is based on students' personal evaluations of their development in visual-spatial reasoning, digital literacy, and ability to articulate design concepts.

The process of data analysis is iterative and themed. Observation notes, interview transcripts, and thoughts are analyzed for reoccurring themes associated with the three evaluation criteria, including “iterative refinement,” “enhanced spatial awareness,” “technical barriers,” and “time pressure.” Student objects are assessed based on criteria consistent with design cognition and visualization theory, facilitating alignment between participants' verbal expressions and their outputs. Cross-case comparison is employed to discern similarities and differences between design and architecture schools, situating the findings within broader discussions on digital design pedagogy. The methodological focus consistently prioritizes the generation of a comprehensive, contextualized description that is analytically applicable to analogous educational settings.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The case study findings demonstrate that SketchUp-based 3D modeling markedly improves students' visualization skills and spatial reasoning in design and architecture courses. Students regularly employ circling, segmental cuts, and layered compositions to comprehend and enhance volumetric relationships, indicating that SketchUp serves as a cognitive scaffold for converting abstract concepts into spatially coherent structures. In numerous projects, initial stiff or schematic concepts develop into more sophisticated spatial arrangements as students iterate within the digital realm, demonstrating that ongoing visual input fosters enhanced engagement with size, proportion, and spatial sequence. The ability to observe models from different angles seems to increase pupils' ability to anticipate how environments will be experienced and lessen reliance on mental imagery alone.

There is considerable evidence of heightened engagement and motivation when SketchUp is effectively integrated into project work. Students frequently describe the modeling process as "interactive," "enjoyable," or "rewarding," emphasizing the satisfaction gained from the swift manifestation of concepts in three dimensions. The immediacy of visual results and the ability for rapid modifications foster ongoing involvement in design projects, prompting some students to independently explore alternatives beyond the fundamental requirements. Collective critiques intensify when colleagues jointly investigate models, suggest alterations, and deliberate on spatial options. This collaborative environment fosters a sense of ownership in the creative process and amplifies the intrinsic motivation to create and improve.

The study simultaneously reveals many integration issues related to technical competencies, time management, and curriculum alignment. Students with limited prior familiarity with digital tools exhibit initial frustration with interface navigation, modeling precision, and file management. For certain individuals, the cognitive load of learning software competes with the conceptual demands of design, especially in the early weeks of the course. Time constraints often present a recurring challenge: instructors must balance the need to convey essential design concepts with the requirement to cultivate sufficient modeling expertise. Without careful scheduling and support, students may either spend too much time on software complexities or insufficiently use the tool, resorting to traditional 2D methods.

Curriculum alignment presents an extra challenge. In courses where SketchUp is primarily viewed as a technical adjunct rather than integrated into learning objectives and assessment criteria, its potential impact on creativity and communication is diminished. Conversely, when tasks expressly require 3D exploration and evaluation criteria recognize iterative modeling, spatial clarity, and digital communication, students are more likely to employ SketchUp as a genuine design tool. The results demonstrate that institutional and curricular frameworks substantially affect the extent to which SketchUp promotes higher-order learning, rather than merely acting as a representational tool. Comparative insights between traditional drawing and 3D modeling schooling are apparent from observations and participant testimonies. Hand drawing and sketching are crucial for rapid ideation and intellectual exploration; many students

commence their approach with analog sketches to delineate initial notions. However, as concepts evolve beyond fundamental arrangements, 3D modeling provides substantial advantages in evaluating spatial depth, illumination, circulation, and the connections between internal and exterior environments. Traditional 2D representations often inadequately reveal problems, such as awkward junctions or inaccurate spatial proportions, which are easily discernible in three dimensions. Instructors observe that students relying solely on 2D methods often struggle with spatial coherence, whereas those who frequently utilize SketchUp have improved volumetric reasoning and more effective visual storytelling.

The discourse indicates a complementing, rather than adversarial, relationship between traditional sketching and 3D modeling. SketchUp is most efficacious when utilized not as a substitute for drawing but as an extension of the design process, enabling students to evaluate and enhance concepts developed on paper. This hybrid education corresponds with modern perspectives on design cognition that highlight the interaction among many representational mediums. The findings substantiate the assertion that SketchUp-based 3D modeling, when effectively integrated and structured within curriculum design, serves as a potent catalyst for enhanced visualization, increased engagement, and superior design outcomes, while also underscoring the necessity for institutional strategies that tackle technical training, time management, and alignment with overarching educational objectives.

## **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The study demonstrates that SketchUp-based 3D modeling is an effective instructional instrument for enhancing visualization, spatial reasoning, and design communication in architecture and design education. In the examined classrooms, students utilized SketchUp to incrementally improve volumetric designs, investigate spatial variances, and convey concepts with greater clarity than conventional 2D representations. The utilization of SketchUp was associated with increased engagement and motivation, as students demonstrated a heightened sense of ownership and satisfaction in observing their ideas converted into interactive three-dimensional models. The findings highlight persistent challenges related to differences in technical proficiency, time limitations within studio schedules, and inconsistencies in the incorporation of digital resources into educational outcomes and assessment practices.

These findings have significant implications for educators and curriculum developers. SketchUp should be viewed not merely as a technical tool but as a fundamental component of design thinking, directly aligned with course objectives in creativity, spatial literacy, and visual communication. This requires deliberate scaffolding, including gradual skill enhancement, clear task modeling associated with conceptual goals, and assessment criteria that emphasize iterative exploration and digital transparency rather than solely final aesthetic polish. Secondly, colleges must offer institutional support, including access to adequate technology, laboratory time, and professional development for educators, to guarantee the sustainable incorporation of digital modeling into studio culture. A hybrid process that

combines hand sketching for initial inspiration with SketchUp for assessing and communicating spatial concepts appears highly beneficial.

The study indicates the necessity for additional systematic and comparative research that links digital modeling approaches with quantifiable cognitive results, like spatial visualization assessments, design originality measurements, or longitudinal evaluations of student performance. Mixed-methods strategies could integrate qualitative studio evidence with quantitative measures of cognitive and affective improvements. Subsequent research may investigate additional developing tools—such as immersive VR modeling or parametric platforms—to establish a more comprehensive evidence base regarding how various digital environments facilitate or hinder creative cognition, collaboration, and design learning across distinct educational contexts.

## REFERENCES

- Abdo, S. N., Hsu, J., Kapetanakis, C., Newman, D. L., Wright, L. K., & Bailey, J. (2024). An Exploration of Spatial Visualization Skills: Investigating Students' Use of 3D Models in Science Problems during Think-Aloud Interviews. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 101(9), 3624. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.3c01355>
- Abdullah, A. H., Wahab, R. A., Mokhtar, M., Atan, N. A., Halim, N. D. A., Surif, J., Zaid, N. M., Ashari, Z. M., Ibrahim, N. H., Kohar, U. H. A., Hamzah, M. H., & Rahman, S. N. S. A. (2022). DOES Sketchup Make Improve Students' Visual-Spatial Skills? *IEEE Access*, 10, 13936. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2022.3147476>
- Abdullah, S. M. A., Toulou, N. M. F., & Amen, A. A.-H. (2024). Effective schematic design phase in design process. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 34(5), 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-024-09890-w>
- Acican, Ö., & Luyten, L. (2025). Experiential Learning of Structures through Dynamic Comparisons: A Comparative Study. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-025-10020-3>
- Alam, T. M., Stoica, G., Sharma, K., & Özgöbek, Ö. (2025). Digital technologies in the classrooms in the last decade (2014–2023): a bibliometric analysis. *Frontiers in Education*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1533588>
- Al-Rqaibat, S., Al-Nusair, S., & Bataineh, R. (2025). Enhancing architectural education through hybrid digital tools: investigating the impact on design creativity and cognitive processes. *Smart Learning Environments*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-025-00370-9>
- Anifowose, H., Yan, W., & Dixit, M. (2022). *BIM LOD + Virtual Reality -- Using Game Engine for Visualization in Architectural & Construction Education*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2201.09954>
- Aslan, A., Avcı, S. G., & Gökçü, M. Ş. (2024). Using 3-dimensional Models as Teaching Tools in Science Education for Elementary School Students. *Research Square (Research Square)*. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3934766/v1>

- Bhaduri, S., Bidy, Q., Bush, J. B., Suresh, A., & Sumner, T. (2021). 3DnST: A Framework Towards Understanding Children's Interaction with Tinkercad and Enhancing Spatial Thinking Skills. *Interaction Design and Children*, 257. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3459990.3460717>
- Bosch, N., Härkki, T., & Seitamaa-Hakkarainen, P. (2024). Teachers as reflective learning experience designers: Bringing design thinking into school-based design and maker education. *International Journal of Child-Computer Interaction*, 43, 100695. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcci.2024.100695>
- Cortes, R. A., Peterson, E. G., Kraemer, D. J. M., Kolvoord, R. A., Uttal, D. H., Dinh, N., Weinberger, A. B., Daker, R. J., Lyons, I. M., Goldman, D. I., & Green, A. E. (2022). Transfer from spatial education to verbal reasoning and prediction of transfer from learning-related neural change. *Science Advances*, 8(32). <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abo3555>
- Çoruh, L. (2025). Immersive digital creation environments in design education. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 35(5), 1841. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-025-09961-6>
- Edwards, K. M., Man, B., & Ahmed, F. (2024). Sketch2Prototype: Rapid Conceptual Design Exploration and Prototyping with Generative AI. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2405.12985>
- El-Khouly, T., & Abdelhalim, O. (2024). Preserving conceptual design integrity: strategies for enhancing interoperability in architectural digital design workflows. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1), 30595. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-78640-8>
- Fleischmann, K. (2024). Making tacit knowledge explicit: the case for online peer feedback in the studio critique. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-024-09911-8>
- Garcia, M. B., Adao, R. T., Pempina, E. B., Quejado, C. K., & Maranan, C. R. B. (2023). *MILES Virtual World: A Three-Dimensional Avatar-Driven Metaverse-Inspired Digital School Environment for FEU Group of Schools*. 23. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3625704.3625729>
- Ghanbaripour, A. N., Talebian, N., Miller, D., Tumpa, R. J., Zhang, W., Golmoradi, M., & Skitmore, M. (2024). A Systematic Review of the Impact of Emerging Technologies on Student Learning, Engagement, and Employability in Built Environment Education [Review of *A Systematic Review of the Impact of Emerging Technologies on Student Learning, Engagement, and Employability in Built Environment Education*]. *Buildings*, 14(9), 2769. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings14092769>
- Hajirasouli, A., & Banihashemi, S. (2022). Augmented reality in architecture and construction education: state of the field and opportunities. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-022-00343-9>
- Herrera, L. M. M., Juárez-Ordóñez, S., & Ruiz-Loza, S. (2024). Enhancing mathematical education with spatial visualization tools. *Frontiers in Education*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2024.1229126>
- Hock, T. T., Ayub, A. F. M., Yunus, A. S. Md., & Salim, N. R. M. (2021). Dynamic Google SketchUp Software and Conventional Teaching Strategy

- of Students' Conceptual Knowledge and Procedural Knowledge in Learning Geometry. *International Journal of Human Resource Studies*, 11, 274. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijhrs.v11i4s.19253>
- Honzíková, J., Fadrhonc, J., & Krotký, J. (2024). Testing the Level of Creativity and Spatial Imagination in the SketchUp Program Using a Modified Urban Test of Creative Thinking. *Digital*, 4(3), 804. <https://doi.org/10.3390/digital4030040>
- Hu, M. (2019). A BIM-enabled Pedagogical Approach. <https://doi.org/10.21428/f7d9ca02.3bc0e95c>
- Huang, R., Adarkwah, M. A., Liu, M., Hu, Y., Zhuang, R., & Chang, T. (2024). Digital Pedagogy for Sustainable Education Transformation: Enhancing Learner-Centred Learning in the Digital Era. *Frontiers of Digital Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44366-024-0031-x>
- Huang, T., Chou, Y.-Y., Gao, Z., & Shu, Y. (2025). Exploring the effects of the 6E instructional model on learners' metacognitive behaviors in 3D modeling. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 35(5), 1965. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-025-09972-3>
- Jain, A., Kerne, A., Fowler, H., Seo, J. H., Newman, G., Lupfer, N., & Perrine, A. (2024). How Could AI Support Design Education? A Study Across Fields Fuels Situating Analytics. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2404.17390>
- Jiang, Q., Zhang, Y., Wei, W., & Gu, C. (2024). Evaluating Technological and Instructional Factors Influencing the Acceptance of AIGC-Assisted Design Courses. *Computers and Education Artificial Intelligence*, 7, 100287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100287>
- Kong, B., & Jeong, W. (2025). Validation of a User Sketch-Based Spatial Planning Review Method in a Building Information Modeling and Virtual Reality Integrated Environment. *Buildings*, 15(17), 3170. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings15173170>
- Koszewski, K., & Przybyłowicz, P. (2023). *The role of team collaboration in didactics of architecture – mpiBIM project – Inter-faculty and interdisciplinary BIM course*. 80. <https://doi.org/10.35483/acsa.teach.2023.12>
- Koumpouros, Y. (2024). Revealing the true potential and prospects of augmented reality in education. *Smart Learning Environments*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-023-00288-0>
- Kumbo, L. I., Mero, R. F., & Hayuma, B. J. (2023). Navigating The Digital Frontier: Innovative Pedagogies for Effective Technology Integration in Education. *The Journal of Informatics*, 3(1), 14. <https://doi.org/10.59645/tji.v3i1.142>
- Lee, J. W. Y., Low, L. X. T., Ong, D. W., Bello, F., & Soh, R. C. C. (2025). An activity theory approach to analysing student learning of human anatomy using a 3D-printed model and a digital resource. *BMC Medical Education*, 25(1), 553. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-025-07172-0>
- Lee, S., Choi, B., Maia, C., Park, J., Youm, S. H., & Lee, S. (2021). Integrating simulation into design: an experiment in pedagogical environments.

- International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 32(4), 2257.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-021-09682-6>
- Li, H., He, H., Luo, W., & Li, H. (2024). Early Childhood Digital Pedagogy: A Scoping Review of Its Practices, Profiles, and Predictors [Review of *Early Childhood Digital Pedagogy: A Scoping Review of Its Practices, Profiles, and Predictors*]. *Early Childhood Education Journal*. Springer Science+Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10643-024-01804-8>
- Li, Y., Lu, S., Xu, W., & Gao, Y. (2025). Logic-Driven and Technology-Supported Creativity Development Model in Open-Ended Design Tasks. *Buildings*, 15(6), 871. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings15060871>
- Mance, D. (2024). Architectural practice in the Digital Age: Balancing Adoption and Adaptation. In *Springer series in design and innovation* (p. 349). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-71959-2\\_38](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-71959-2_38)
- Márquez, C. R., Carbonell-García, C. E., Miranda-Vargas, V., Diaz-Zavala, R., & Cruz, K. M. L.-D. L. (2025). Self-confidence as a predictor of digital skills: a fundamental pillar for the digitalization of higher education. *Frontiers in Education*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2024.1515033>
- McChesney, E., Schunn, C. D., DeAngelo, L., & McGreevy, E. M. (2025). *Where to Act, When to Think, and How to Feel: A Revised ABC Model of Learning Engagement and its Relationship to the Components of Academic Performance*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5092846>
- Michikyan, M., Subrahmanyam, K., Regan, P. C., Cano, M. Á., Castillo, L. G., Harkness, A., & Schwartz, S. J. (2025). Differences in and relationship between digital access, use, connectivity, skill, engagement, and motivation: implications for digital inequality in online learning among first-generation and continuing-generation undergraduate students. *Discover Education*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-024-00371-9>
- Milošević, J., Nenadović, A., Žujović, M., Gavrilović, M., & Živković, M. (2023). Reworking Studio Design Education Driven by 3D Printing Technologies. In *The æurban book series* (p. 335). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-29515-7\\_30](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-29515-7_30)
- Monroy-Peláez, Á. R., Juarez-Acosta, I., Miralrio, A., & de, S. M. (2025). Implementation of 3D printing as a didactic tool for problem solving of engineering systems and devices. *Frontiers in Education*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2025.1541586>
- Porat, R., & Ceobanu, C. (2024). Enhancing Spatial Ability: A New Integrated Hybrid Training Approach for Engineering and Architecture Students. *Education Sciences*, 14(6), 563. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14060563>
- Rave, S., Ravé, E. G. de, & Jiménez-Hornero, F. J. (2025). Integrating CAD and Orthographic Projection in Descriptive Geometry Education: A Comparative Analysis with Monge's System. *Education Sciences*, 15(11), 1492. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15111492>
- Šafhalter, A., Glodež, S., Šorgo, A., & Vrtič, M. P. (2020). Development of spatial thinking abilities in engineering 3D modeling course aimed at lower secondary students. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 32(1), 167. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-020-09597-8>

- Sim, Y. W., & Jung, E.-C. (2025). Comparative analysis of hand and VR sketching: impact of immersion on Idea generation in product design education. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-025-10023-0>
- Süner-Pla-Cerdà, S., Öztürk, E., & Ünlü, C. E. (2024). Towards an integrative model of blended design studios: a multiple case study across architecture, design and planning education. *Education and Information Technologies*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12873-y>
- Sürmelioglu, Y., & Erdem, M. (2025). Design, implementation, and evaluation of an online instructional process to enhance teachers' design thinking skills. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-05131-0>
- Tan, S. C., Voogt, J., & Tan, L. (2024). Introduction to digital pedagogy: a proposed framework for design and enactment. *Pedagogies An International Journal*, 19(3), 327. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1554480x.2024.2396944>
- Tejera, M., Galiç, S., & Lavicza, Z. (2025). 3D Modelling and Printing in Teacher Education: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal for STEM Education Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41979-025-00147-2>
- Wu, D., & LIU, R. (2024). *A BLENDED APPROACH OF ONLINE TOOLS TO ENHANCE COLLABORATION ABILITY IN DESIGN EDUCATION*. 437. <https://doi.org/10.35199/epde.2024.74>
- Xu, C. Q., & Huang, Y. (2024). Technological Innovation in Architectural Design Education: Empirical Analysis and Future Directions of Midjourney Intelligent Drawing Software. *Buildings*, 14(10), 3288. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings14103288>
- Yaseen, H., Mohammad, A. S., Ashal, N., Abusaimh, H., Ali, A. A. A., & Sharabati, A. A. (2025). The Impact of Adaptive Learning Technologies, Personalized Feedback, and Interactive AI Tools on Student Engagement: The Moderating Role of Digital Literacy. *Sustainability*, 17(3), 1133. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17031133>
- Zakir, S., Hoque, M. E., Susanto, P., Nisaa, V., Alam, Md. K., Khatimah, H., & Mulyani, E. (2025). Digital literacy and academic performance: the mediating roles of digital informal learning, self-efficacy, and students' digital competence. *Frontiers in Education*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1590274>
- Zhu, W., Cui, Y., Gao, K., Ma, J., & Yang, S. (2024). Teaching Research and Reform of Engineering Drawing Course for Education Digitization. *Curriculum and Teaching Methodology*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.23977/curtm.2024.070103>
- Zhuofan, H., & Hidayat, R. (2025). The role of learning engagement in shaping the relationship between self-efficacy, interaction, emotion regulation and perceived learning in online education. *SN Social Sciences*, 5(9). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-025-01164-z>