



Improving Students' Speaking Skill Through Debate Technique at Second Grade Students' of Senior High School

Sri Ninta Tarigan¹, Naumi Christina Barimbing², Soniara Pernaldo Saragih³, Srisofian Sianturi⁴

¹Dosen Universitas Prima Indonesia

^{2,3}Mahasiswa Universitas Prima Indonesia

⁴Dosen Universitas HKBP Nomensen Medan

Abstract

Received: 25 November 2022 This study focuses on determining how argumentation techniques can improve students' speaking skills. Learning to speak is quintessential due to the fact talking is a way of communicating with distinctive human beings to lift opinions and ideas, however in truth students' English speaking competencies are low. This is due to various elements such as factors of speech such as vocabulary, pronunciation and grammar that students have to draw close in order to communicate English well. In this study, the researcher used the debate strategy to enhance students' talking skills. This examine about is a classroom motion find out about performed in two cycles. Data sequence used to be once accomplished through tests and observations. Test and commentary data gathered from each cycle have been subjected to quantitative and qualitative analysis. The implementation of the debate technique in teaching speaking competencies in the 2nd grade of SMA Mulia Pratama Medan used to be carried out in two cycles, such as area one and phase two. 25 college students of classification XI IPA participated in the study. The consequences of this locate out about confirmed that the usage of the debate method can improve students' speaking skills. This is evidenced by way of capacity of the students' take a look at scores, which accelerated with every cycle. In the first cycle, the average grade of college students was as soon as 42.50, in the 2nd cycle it was once 78.22. The result of this lookup is really helpful information, especially for the English trainer who teaches this class, and for all English instructors in general.

Keywords: Speaking skill, Debate technique, Speaking improvement, Classroom action research

(*) Corresponding Author: srinintatarigan@unprimdn.ac.id, naomibarimbing25@gmail.com, om_sonisaragih06@gmail.com, srisofiansianturi@uhn.ac.id

How to Cite: Tarigan, S., Barimbing, N., Saragih, S., & Sianturi, S. (2022). Improving Students' Speaking Skill Through Debate Technique at Second Grade Students' of Senior High School. *Jurnal Ilmiah Wahana Pendidikan*, 8(24), 467-473. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7494665>.

INTRODUCTION

Lack of vocabulary really affect english speaking's fluency, that is why we need to master our vocabulary skill first, before trying to learn English speaking's ability. Speaking English in the classroom requires an interest in practicing the right skills in order to make students can develop their speaking skill and enjoy the learning process. According to Brown (2004: 140) (Fauzan, 2016), "Speaking is a productive capacity that can be observed directly and speaking's skill is very important because it is not possible to separate humans from speaking. One of the techniques you can use when teaching speaking's



ability is debate. As an international language, used all over the world, English is becoming very important, mainly not the educational aspect. English is a means of communication for people outside the country or network to define specific thoughts and feelings in a basic daily.

Conversation is a electricity who needs a regular and appropriate motion of speaking, listening and understanding. To converse, English is except hesitation vital because it is the first language of many countries, however it is widely spoken during the world. Conversation has been a very important ability among other language skills for successful conversation around the world. According to Thonburry (2005), Stated that the definition of communicate is an action to converse with all people in a every day existence involving one or greater people that wants each listeners and speakers to answer and cooperate very quickly. Each aspect has a goal or objective it wishes to achieve.

Even though English is very tremendous in having connection for whole society. In Indonesia, truly nevertheless many people hardly ever counted who can't communicate English well. Pollard (2008) cited that the part of language that is too tough to master is talking due to need to deal with their mind, how to perceive, vocabulary memorization and how act to their interlocutor. Since the concept issue of college students instructing additionally having studying English in Indonesia, having getting to know English is predominant associated with grammar and structure. Those created students' less self-confidence by means of using their verbal skills, they sense that the structure is inappropriate and they are too shy when asked people.

RESEARCH METHOD

Research format

Class action lookup will be used in this study. Research on how to habits any systematic study will be carried out via teacher-researchers in the area of instructing and gaining know-how of to accumulate information about how their faculties work, how they instruct and how their college students learn. This information will be amassed to obtain insight, to enhance true practices, to affect extremely good changes in the results of the school surroundings and the lives of these involved. According to Harmer (2003:344), motion research is the identify given to a sequence of strategies that can be done by way of using teachers because they pick to enhance things in their instructing or because they prefer to evaluate the success and/or adequacy of positive matters to do and. behavior. Quoted in Rubiati, Dave Ebbut (2010:23) referred to that action lookup is protected in the systematic assessment of efforts to enhance educational practices with a team of men and women using their action and reflecting on the consequences of these actions. Action lookup in the school room has four stages, namely scheduling, doing, observing and reflecting. To structure skill to indicate the motion to be taken, movement capacity the actions to be taken. At this stage, the creator will improve a curriculum, a lesson plan, and the media he will use to instruct speakme to students. This exercising will be carried out continuously until the trainer receives a exquisite reward. Noticing the consequences of action, and reflection is the contrast of moves

taken. It is the equal as the verb written in the symbol. In this study, the researchers will work with the trainer to create a partnership that will work collectively to clear up the students' trouble of bettering conversation skills by means of argumentation.

Research Technique for Collecting Data

The search for system is a machine used via the researcher at some point of facts series to facilitate his work and reap better, entire and systematic outcomes in order to make the records easy to method (Suharsimi, 2008). The examination takes the form of an oral examination with a pre-test and post-test. The researcher gathered statistics on the speaker's overall performance of the college students the use of speaking textual content primarily based on quite a few criteria: pronunciation, grammar, vocabulary, fluency and comprehension.

A. Define

Define the trouble before. Problems related to issues that make it hard for students to know their ideas whilst speaking and to expect about how to react at the equal time, language, grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation and how to treat the individual they are speaking with. Tests, interviews, and assessments can be used to select out the problem.

B. Schedule

The researchers will plan lesson plans, instructing materials, and a post-test to decide whether students' speaking abilities will get improvement.

C. Action

In this section, the researchers will use debate approach to data students by way of the materials step-by-step all thru the instructing and getting to know process.

D. Observe

During the instructing process, the researcher will have a look at the college students to locate out if debate technique can help them decorate their speak capabilities in the classroom.

E. Reflect

- 3 In order to consider the terrific and awful consequences of the action, the researcher will analyze the influence of the study about on the use of debate method in the classroom, whether or not it is the development of language comprehension and in terms of the find out about room situation.

Research Technique For Analyzing Data

The statistics is analyzed via quantitative and qualitative data. During the instructing process, qualitative statistics is used to describe the situation. Quantitative statistics is used to analyze student scores. Quantitative facts are gathered and analyzed by way of calculating students' speaker check scores. In statistics analysis, the researcher collects statistics from the documents, examines the educating and analyzing method and the analyzing outcomes of students. In growing the data, the researchers used descriptive statistics. Explain the stipulations for growing the achievement of marks for each cycle and describe the development of the instructing and getting to understand device through arguments to improve language skills. Observational statistics is compiled especially primarily based on pupil overall performance and student responses, which can be used as a records or indicator of scholar average performance when implementing interactive

techniques.

Research Participant and location

The individuals in this learn about are 2nd grade college students at SMA Mulia Pratama Medan. It has 25 students. The researcher observes the things to do in the teacher room whilst the trainer performs an action in the classroom. Then the teacher helps the researchers analyze the records from observations and plans in each and every cycle.

FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

Research Findings

Data Presentation

The writers separated the venture into two rounds. Every spherical has four sections; such as scheduling, implementing, searching at additionally reflecting. In the vicinity researchers described finding and result. Writers would furnish the university students pre-test as a first spherical and a post- take a seemat as a 2nd spherical to take a look at their speakme skill. The writers carried out this article in every round for 30 minutes. The researchers used the take a appear at to disclose all things to do in the classroom. Some of the college university college students appeared to like the teachers' instructing methods. This was once once as soon as seen in their enthusiasm in managing the work of the debate. But some students have been now not happy. Students' motivation to take part in speakme gaining understanding of things to do was once low, and many students placed it hardly ever in conveying their ideas delivered about a whole lot a whole lot less of vocabulary. So the researchers scheduled the hobby into second rounds. Each round would be held for 30 minutes. The writers located the rankings of university students improved. At the first round, the college students received prevalent rating 42,50, however the 2nd spherical college students received frequent ranking 78.22. But, the 2nd round some college students had ninety two scores. The cease end result described that students' talking abilities acquired enchancement with the useful resource of practicable of the use of debate.

The writers investigated all the matters to do in the locate out about room by means of the usage of way of the utilization of the take a look at carried out by using capability of the use of the writers, the university students have been interviewed and expressed their opinions. And there used to be an enchancement in the technique of getting to understand to teach. On the consequences of all the rounds conducted, it was as soon as discovered that the grades of the students increased from the very beginning. This enchancement showed that the rating used to be excellent from the first spherical to the 2nd round. Through debate, college students had improved their speakme skills. The make better of English students'speaking rankings used to be mirrored in the students' higher check scores. The common ranking of the college college students at the second spherical decided greater than the previous round, had extended from to 42,50 to 78.22 due to the truth of the debate. Practical lecture room look up via the use of speak have to be in a function to expand the opportunity for college students in order to make aim language for smart aims, with interest to the message they spoke as an preference of correcting the structure of the language.

Table 1. grades of students from the first to the second round.

NUMBER	Name	ROUND I	ROUND 2
1	Amelia Sitorus	42	78
2	Anima	46	82
3	Angelina Simamora	48	78
4	Arya Kurniawan	50	92
5	Asry Elsy	42	78
6	Aulia	42	82
7	Chatrine	38	78
8	Debora	50	92
9	Elma	40	78
10	Ester Devi	50	78
11	Feby	42	78
12	Gioamy	48	80
13	Hery	52	80
14	Manda Kristiani	42	78
15	Jonathan Siagian	76	92
16	Josua Sitepu	50	80
17	Kasih Paulina	40	78
18	Lia	54	84
19	Lise	42	78
20	Lydia	60	92
21.	Marsel Sirait	46	78
22.	Naomi Joretha	32	65
23.	Nazmy Azzuran	40	78
24.	Rey Hansen	78	92
25.	Sindy Lumban Tobing	42	78
	TOTAL SCORE	1192	2025
	MEAN	1,192	2,25

Table 2. The improvement grades from first round to second round

Grade	ROUND 1	ROUND 2
Lowest grade	32	63

Highest grade	78	92
X	1192	2025
N	25	25

We concluded that students's speaking skill through debate technique from 42,50 to 78,22 gotimprovement. The calculation had been calculated below:
 Finding the score of first round, the writers used formula below to discover students' average score.

$$M = \frac{x}{n}$$

When:

M = Average rankings

X = Total students'score

N = Number of students

At the first session college students used to be 1192 and the variety of students who had the take alook at used to be 25. The avarage total ranking was:

$$\text{Round I } M = \frac{1192}{25} = 1,192$$

Meanwhile students' total rating in the 2nd round used to be once 2025 ratings and wide range ofcollege students who had the check was once as soon as 25. The avarage complete rating was:

$$\text{Round II } M = \frac{2025}{25} = 2,5$$

SUMMARY AND SUGGESTION

Summary

Subsequent comparison of the records proven that students' rankings prolonged from the first to the second session. In different words, there used to be once an enchancement in students'capability to express themselves through argumentation. It can be considered by way of the enchancement in the common ranking of the students. The test was once once 42.50, and the 2nd take a appear at was once 78.22. The enchancement of the students' English proficiency used to be mirrored in the make larger in the students' take a look at scores. Students' suggest rankings in the second session had been increased than in the first session. Therefore, it can be referred to that the talking percentage of the college students had multiplied from 42.50 to 78.22 because of the debate. Students demonstrated some enchancement in instructional grades and conduct for the length of the course. Students sense guaranteed and are not shy about expressing themselves. They revel in and focal point on collaborating in the teaching and learning process, and are hardworking, certain and collaborative in learning. Students who used discussion verifieda range of actions. There have been right matters and horrific deeds. Yet, overall, students stated that the dialogue trip was once fascinating and helped improve their speaking skills. In essence,communicate can beautify students'

abilities after teaching them thru argumentative skills. Teaching strategies can be a consideration for English teachers.

Suggestion

The researchers predicted that argumentation strategies can be used to train talking and can assist college students in each educating and learning. college students would be extra confident in improving their speaking skills and can additionally furnish English instructors with many benefits to improve students' speaking skills.

REFERENCES

- Harmer (2003:344). *Practicing English Language Teaching*
- Dave Ebbut (2010:23). *Development Teachers' Competency*
- Kemmis & Mc. Taggart, in Nunan's book, *Metode Penelitian Dalam Pembelajaran Bahasa* (Suharsimi, 2008). *An Practicing Approach and Procedure*
- Brown (2004: 140) (Fauzan, 2016). *Mengembangkan Kemampuan Berbicara Siswa Lewat Teknik Debat*
- Thonburry (2005). *Berbicara Merupakan Aktifitas Berkomunikasi Satu Sama Lain Didalam Kehidupan Sehari- Hari*
- Pollard (2008). *Gambaran Pengajaran Guru Dan Bukti Yang Diinformasikan*
- Richards. Jack C. et al. (1992). *Kompetensi Dan Kinerja Mengajar Bahasa*
- C r e s w e l l J. W (2 0 0 5) . *Penelitian Pendidikan, Rencana, Pelaksanaan, dan Evaluasi.*