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## INTRODUCTION

Mastery of English has been problems to many Indonesian students. The most affecting problem is psychology barrier Indri \& Handayani, 2017 (in Haidara, 2014;p. 515 and Tantri (2013; p. 39), They stated that most of EFL students have weak motivation, lack in self-confidence, afraid of making mistakes, feeling shy or insecure while using target language. Even though English is a general foreign language taught in University, most of Indonesian students are still anxious to communicate using English.

The concept of pronunciation according to (Kelly, 2000) stated "one of a consideration of learners' pronunciation errors and of how these can inhibit successful communication is a useful basic on which to assess why it is important to deal with pronunciation in the classroom". According to (Nunan \& Carter, 2001) belive that 'pronunciation plays a central role in both our personal and our social lives: as individuals, we project our identity through the way we speak, and also indicate our membership of particular communities.

Based on the statement above can be said that "pronunciation as the act or the manner of pronouncing word, utterance of speech. First, sound is significant because it is used as part of a code of a particular language. In this sense, pronunciation is as the production and reception of speech sound. Second, it is used to achieve meaning in context of use. In this sense, pronunciation with reference to acts of speaking. Pronunciation can always be studied from two points of view. (Harahap et al., 2020).

The errors made by students above are commonly. To minimize these errors, it is necessary to study the analysis of language errors. Error analysis is on the other side of the equation, being the study of lunguistic ignorance, the investigation of what people do not know and how they attempt to cope with their
ignorance. (Carl, 1998). Related this argument (Lincon Jhon and Heffeman, 1990) said that 'that language learning is basically a process that cannot be separated from mistakes in misunderstandings in order to gain skills and obtain clear information'.

Based on previous research Touchie, (1986) believe that linguistics usually distinguish between two types of errors: performance errors and competence errors. Performance errors are those errors made by learners when they are tired or hurried. Normally, this type of error is not serious and can be overcome with little effort by the learner. Competence errors, on the other hand, are more serious than performance errors since competence errors reflect inadequate learning.

Tanjung, (2021) he found that the most error made by the students occurs in vowel with 66 errors while in consonants with 41 errors. The highest number of errors in vowels appears in sound $/ 2 /$ with 8 errors or $57.1 \%$. While in consonants, the highest number of errors occurs in sound $/ \mathrm{v} /$ with 11 errors or $78.5 \%$ from the total errors.

Alaga, (2016) conclusion of this research is describe that mispronunciation was looked into as a result of the interference of L1 pronunciation. L1 phonological sounds have fossilized in the phonological knowledge of the ESL learners. Lastly, the spelling structure of the words gives confusion to the learners to pronounce the words.

Beside the statement above factors that affect the accuracy of Indonesian EFL students' pronunciation according to (Fadillah, 2020) are: 1) English vowels and consonants shift by EFL learners, 2) factors influencing Indonesian EFL learners' pronunciation, and 3) the instruments and media used by Indonesian researchers to monitor the pronunciation.

The researcher is interested to study types of surface strategy taxonomy errors. As such omission, addition, misinformation, and misordering. Omission errors are marked by the absence of an item that should be in a good and correct utterance. Addition errors are the opposite of omissions. This error is indicated by the presence of an item that should not appear in good and correct speech. Misformation errors are characterized by the wrong morpheme or structure. Misorder errors are characterized by incorrect placement of a morpheme. (Tarigan dan Djago, 1988).

The study sought to answer two research questions:
(1) What is the most errors of vowel sounds pronounced by students?
(2) What types of errors made by the students?

## METHODS

This study employed a qualitative research design. This study was conducted at students' of Khairun University. This research was designed to know the most error vowel sounds pronounce by students' and to find out the types of error by students'. The participants in this study were third semester student of English Education Study Program of Khairun University. They consisted of 20 students. In this study the researcher will uses test to get students error when they pronounce English vowel and audiovisual materials consists of images or sounds that researcher collect to help them understand the central phenomenon under
study. The technique used in this research is descriptive analysis. The data collected in this research are raw data. Therefore, to make them meaningful, there are some steps to analyze it. The steps of analyzing data used are:
(1) Researcher made the written text that was phonetic transcription of the data before finding out the errors.
(2) After making the phonetic transcription of the data, the researcher was listening to the students' utterance in form of audio recording and comparing the sounds repeatedly with the correct phonetic transcription.
(3) Then the researcher made the phonetic transcription of the student's speech.

The correct pronunciation was taken from Online Oxford Advanced Learner's
Dictionary.
(4) The data collected were analyzed. After the students finished the test, then the researcher concluded the total score from the result of the test. Student's scores were calculated by using the following formula:

$$
\mathrm{P}=\frac{f}{N} \times 100 \%
$$

Where:
P = Prosentage
f $\quad=$ The number of pronunciation error
$\mathrm{N} \quad=$ Total of all pronunciation error
(Forde R. David, 1959)

## RESULTS AND DISCUSION

The findings of this research show that there were 20 students participating in the test, the students were asked to read aloud the list of words there are 60 common words in English and then record their voices. The researcher recorded the voices of the students one by one while the other participants waited outside the room after that the researcher was listening to the students' utterance in form of audio recording and comparing the sounds repeatedly with the correct phonetic transcription. Then the researcher made the phonetic transcription of the student's speech. The correct pronunciation was taken from Online Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary.

| Vowel | Number of Error | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[\mathbf{I}]$ | 4 | $1.83 \%$ |
| $[\mathbf{i}:]$ | 62 | $28.44 \%$ |
| $[\mathbf{v}]$ | 8 | $3.66 \%$ |
| $[\mathbf{u}:]$ | 12 | $5.50 \%$ |
| $[\mathbf{e}]$ | 11 | $5.04 \%$ |
| $[\mathbf{3}:]$ | 37 | $16.97 \%$ |
| $[\mathbf{\square}]$ | 15 | $6.88 \%$ |
| $[\mathbf{0}:]$ | 13 | $5.96 \%$ |
| $[\mathbf{a}:]$ | 12 | $5.50 \%$ |
| $[\mathbf{v}]$ | 24 | $11.00 \%$ |
| $[\mathbf{\Lambda}]$ | 15 | $6.88 \%$ |
| $[\mathfrak{æ}]$ | 5 | $2.34 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The percentage of mispronounced sounds is presented in the table 1.2. The table shows that sound /i:/ is the most mispronounced sounds, reaching ( 62 or $28.44 \%$ ), of total errors. The second biggest error occurred on /3:/ ( 37 or $16.97 \%$ ), followed by $/ \mathrm{p} /(24$ or $11.00 \%$ ), then $/ \mathrm{\rho} /(15$ or $16.88 \%)$ and $/ \mathrm{L} /(15$ or $16.88 \%$ ).

The errors made by students in pronouncing vowels sounds

| No | Words | Phonetic Transcription | Student sound | Subjects |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ship | / $\mathrm{Sip} /$ |  |  |
| 2 | rich | /rit5/ | /ri:c/ | S2 |
| 3 | bit | /bit/ | /bi:t/ | S2 |
| 4 | win | /win/ | /wi:n/ | S2 |
| 5 | pin | /pin/ | /pi:n/ | S2 |
| 6 | eat | /i:t/ | /It/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S1, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7, S8, } \\ & \text { S9, S13, S16, S17, S19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | reach | /ri:tf/ | /ric/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S1, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7, S8, } \\ & \text { S9, S10, S13, S16, S17, } \\ & \text { S19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | read | /ri:d/ | /rid/ | ```S1, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7, S8, S9, S10, S13, S16, S17, S19,``` |
| 9 | need | /ni:d/ | /nid/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S1, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7, S8, } \\ & \text { S9, S10, S13, S16, S17, } \\ & \text { S19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | deep | /di:p/ | /dip/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S1, S3, S4, S5, S6, S9, } \\ & \text { S10,S13, S16, S17, S19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | put | /pot/ | /pat/ | S2, S8, S9, S10, S12 |
| 12 | look | /luk/ |  |  |
| 13 | book | /buk/ |  |  |
| 14 | good | /gud/ |  |  |
| 15 | cook | /kuk/ | /cok/ /cuk/ | S13, S14,S15 |
| 16 | tool | /tu:1/ | /Tal/ /tul/ /tol/ | S6, S7, S16, S17, S20 |
| 17 | fool | /fu:1/ | /Fol/ /ful/ | S6, S7, S16, S17 |
| 18 | pool | /pu:1/ | /Pol/ /pul/ | S7, S16, S17 |
| 19 | cool | /ku:1/ |  |  |
| 20 | food | /fu:d/ |  |  |
| 21 | very | /'veri/ |  |  |
| 22 | get | /get/ | /git/ | S5, S16 |
| 23 | mess | /mes/ | /mis/ | S4, S6, S10, S16 |
| 24 | better | /betər/ | /biter/ | S2, S5, S10, S16 |
| 25 | send | /send/ | /Sind/ | S16 |
| 26 | her | /3:r/ | /hir/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S2, S3, S6, S8, S9, S13, } \\ & \text { S14, S16, S17 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 27 | work | /w3:rk/ | /work/ | S3, |
| 28 | learn | /l3:rn/ | /lirn / | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S2, S3, S7, S8, S9, S13, } \\ & \text { S14, S16, S19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 29 | burn | /b3:rn/ | /barn/ /burn/ /bord/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S2, S3, S7, S8, S10, S13, } \\ & \text { S14, S16, S18 } \end{aligned}$ |


| 30 | bird | /b3:rd/ | /bird/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S3, S4, S6, S7, S8, S9, S13, } \\ & \text { S16, S20 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | ago | /a'gau/ | /gou/ | S12, S13, S16, S19 |
| 32 | teacher | /'tittfor/ | /techer/ | S10, |
| 33 | could | /kəd/ | /cold/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S3, S4, S5, S10, S11, S13, } \\ & \text { S14, S16, S18, S19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 34 | standard | /'stændərd/ |  |  |
| 35 | about | /a'baut/ |  |  |
| 36 | warm | /wo:rm/ | /wram/ /werm/ | S7, S10, S13 |
| 37 | walk | /wo:k/ | /walk/ | S5, S8, S14 |
| 38 | also | /'o:Isəu/ | /erls/ | S16 |
| 39 | call | /ko:l/ | /kel/ | S3, S4, S5, S10, S14, S16 |
| 40 | more | /mo:r/ |  |  |
| 41 | start | /sta:rt/ | /star/ | S8, S11, S16 |
| 42 | ask | /a:sk/ | /esk/ | S5, S7, S9, S10, S14, S19 |
| 43 | large | /la:rd3/ | /larje/ | S9, S14, S16 |
| 44 | after | /'a:ftə(r)/ |  |  |
| 45 | father | /'fa:ðər/ |  |  |
| 46 | of | /pv/ |  |  |
| 47 | on | /pn/ |  |  |
| 48 | from | /from/ |  |  |
| 49 | not | /nnt/ | /not/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S2, S3, S4, S6, S7, S8,S9, } \\ & \text { S11, S12, S7, S14, S16, } \\ & \text { S19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 50 | lot | /lpt/ | /lot/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S2, S3, S4, S6, S8, S9, S11, } \\ & \text { S12, S14, S15, S16 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 51 | much | /mstg/ |  |  |
| 52 | up | /np/ |  |  |
| 53 | but | /bst/ |  |  |
| 54 | love | /lav/ | /lav/ | S6, |
| 55 | blood | /blıd/ | /blud/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S1, S2, S3, S4, S7, S8, S9, } \\ & \text { S10, S12, S13, S14, S16, } \\ & \text { S19, S20 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 56 | have | /hæv/ |  |  |
| 57 | bad | /bæd/ |  |  |
| 58 | bag | /bæg/ | /bek/ | S5, S13, S19 |
| 59 | can | /kæn/ | /ken/ | S19 |
| 60 | that | /ðæt/ | /det/ | S8 |

Based on the table above, this study tested 60 vocabulary words to 20 students. From 60 vocabularies, it was found that 40 vocabularies were mispronounced by students. Which is the most frequent mistake made by students, namely the I long (I:) sound category in the words eat, reach, read, need and deep vocabulary. For the word "eat" pronunciation errors as many as 12 students. For the word "reach" mispronunciation by the 13 students' . The word "read" pronunciation errors as many as 13 students. And also "need" pronunciation errors as many as 13 students.

So, it can be concluded that out of 20 students, 13 students made the same error in the same words which is long I (I:) sound was replaced with a short I.

This table tells about the types of pronunciation errors made by students
in the table there are 4 kinds of errors, specifically substitution, omission, misordering and insertion.

| No | Words | Correct instance | Error instance | Type of error | Reason | Research Subject |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | tool | /tu:1/ | /tsl/ | Substitution | u: Substituted $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ | $5$ <br> Students |
| 2 | pul | /pu:1/ | /po:1/ | Substitution | u: Substituted $\mathbf{s}$ : | $4$ <br> Students |
| 3 | ago | /ə'gə๐/ | /gou/ | Omission | sound $/ 2 /$ is omitted | 4 <br> Students |


| 4 | get | /get/ | /git/ | Substitution | $\underset{\substack{\text { Substituted } \\ \mathbf{I}}}{\text { en }}$ | 2 Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | mess | /mes/ | /mis/ | Substitution | $\underset{\substack{\text { Substituted } \\ \mathbf{I}}}{\text { en }}$ | 4 Students |
| 6 | better | /betər/ | /biter/ | Substitution | e Substituted I | 4 Students |
| 7 | large | /la:rd3/ | /la:rdze/ | Insertion | e | 3 <br> Students |
| 8 | her | /3:r/ | /hır/ | Substitution | 3: <br> Substituted <br> I | 9 Students |
| 9 | work | /w3:rk/ | /worrk/ | Substitution | 3: Substituted 0 : | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | learn | /l3:rn/ | /lırn / | Substitution | 3: <br> Substituted <br> I | 9 Students |
| 11 | burn | /b3:rn/ | /bprn/ | Substitution | $\mathbf{3}:$ Substituted $\mathbf{p}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ |
| 12 | teacher | /'ti:tfər/ | /techer/ | Substitution | 'i: Substituted e | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ |
| 13 | could | /kəd/ | /co:ld/ | Substitution | o Substituted $\mathbf{0}:$ | 10 <br> Students |
| 14 | warm | /wo:rm/ | /werm/ | Substitution | Ј: Substituted $\mathbf{e}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ |
| 15 | call | /ks:1/ | /kel/ | Substitution | : Substituted e | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ |
| 16 | start | /start/ | /stor/ | Omission | sound $/ t /$ is omitted | 3 Students |


| 17 | ask | /a:sk/ | /a:ks/ | Misordering | $\begin{gathered} \text { sk } \\ \text { misordering } \\ \text { ks } \end{gathered}$ | $6$ <br> Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | not | /nvt/ | /no:t/ | Substitution | D Substituted 0 : | Students |
| 19 | lot | /lpt/ | /lo:t/ | Substitution | p <br> Substituted s: | 11 <br> Students |
| 20 | blood | /blıd/ | /blu:d/ | Substitution | Substituted u: | $14$ <br> Students |
| 21 | bag | /bæg/ | /bek/ | Substitution | $\begin{gathered} \mathfrak{x} \\ \text { Substituted } \\ \mathbf{e} \end{gathered}$ | $3$ <br> Students |
| 22 | can | kæn/ | /ken/ | Substitution | $\begin{gathered} \mathfrak{x} \\ \text { Substituted } \\ \mathbf{e} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 <br> Students |
| 23 | that | /ðæt/ | /det/ | Substitution | $\mathfrak{æ}$ Substituted e | 1 <br> Students |
| 24 | bird | /b3:rd/ | /bird/ | Substitution | 3: Substituted I | $9$ <br> Students |
| 25 | send | /send/ | /Sind/ | Substitution | e Substituted I | 1 <br> Students |
| 26 | love | /lıv/ | /lvv/ | Substitution | Substituted <br> D | $1$ <br> Students |
| 27 | rich | /ritf/ | /ri:c/ | Substitution | Substituted <br> I: | 1 <br> Students |
| 28 | bit | /bit/ | /bi:t/ | Substitution | Substituted <br> I: | 1 <br> Students |
| 29 | win | win/ | /wi:n/ | Substitution | I Substituted I: | $1$ <br> Students |
| 30 | pin | /pın/ | /pi:n/ | Substitution | I Substituted I: | $1$ <br> Students |

From the results of the study the researchers found 30 types of pronunciation errors from 60 words spoken by students where the types of errors consisted of substitution, omission, insertion and misordering

From the results of the analysis, the researcher found 14 students who pronounce the word blood as a /blud/ where students substitutes the sound $/ \Lambda /$ with the sound /u:/. In addition, 9 students also mispronounced the word "Her" they pronounce it as /hrr/ which is the correct pronunciation /h3:r/ in this case there is a substitutes sound $/ 3: /$. is replaced by $/ \mathrm{I} /$.From the data obtained, it can be concluded that substitution is the most common type of pronunciation error produced by third semester students.

## CONCLUSION

From the results and discussion above this research has found out found out the most error vowel sounds to be pronounced by the third semester students of English education study program of Khairun University is /i:/ sound reaching $28.44 \%$, of total errors. And the types of pronunciation errors were substitution, omission, misordering and insertion, and the data shows that substitution is the most common type of error made by the students reaching $86.66 \%$.
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